



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/972,949	10/10/2001	Ryutaro Oka	Q66636	Q66636 4803		
21171 75	590 05/14/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			SY, MARIA	SY, MARIANO ONG		
SUITE 700	RK AVENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			3683			
			DATE MAILED: 05/14/200	DATE MAILED: 05/14/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·	Applica	ition No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/972	949	OKA, RYUTARO	Sp			
Office Action Summary		Examir		Art Unit	· ·			
		Mariano	Sy Sy	3683				
	The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on	the cover sheet with the	e correspondence add	ress			
Period fo	• •							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN risions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come reperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum s ire to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. 30) days, a reply within the s atutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the	event, however, may a reply be statutory minimum of thirty (30) of I will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. orn the mailing date of this cor NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on 19 April 2004	and 26 April 2004.					
′—	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)								
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
-	Claim(s) 2-9 is/are pending in the a	nnlication						
7/23	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8 and 9</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· · · · ·	☐ Claim(s) <u>2 and 4-7</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) 3 is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restri	iction and/or electio	n requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner						
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are		b) objected to by th	ne Examiner.				
/	Applicant may not request that any obj							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including				R 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner.	Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			e e				
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	n for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. & 119	3(a)-(d) or (f)				
,	D All b) Some * c) None of:	The following priority	411401 00 0.0.0. 3 1 10	(u) (u) 0. (i).				
u,	1. Certified copies of the priorit	v documents have t	een received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priorit			cation No				
	3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies	•			Stage .			
	application from the Internati	ional Bureau (PCT l	Rule 17.2(a)).					
. *	See the attached detailed Office acti	on for a list of the c	ertified copies not rece	eived.				
8441	-W-1							
Attachme	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🔲 Interview Summ	nary (PTO-413)				
	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review	(PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Ma	il Date				
3) 🔲 Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o er No(s)/Mail Date	•	5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	al Patent Application (PTC)-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/972,949

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The amendments filed on April 19, 2004 and April 26, 2004 have been received.
- 2. Newly submitted claims 8 and 9 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: the temperature sensor 13 does not contact the elastic member reads on second, third and fourth embodiments (fig. 3-7).

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 8 and 9 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 6 recites the limitation "the stationary bearing" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2, 4, 6, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nachtigal et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,559,633 B1) in view of French et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,161,962).

Re-claims 2 and 4 Nachtigal et al. discloses, as shown in fig. 1, a rolling bearing assembly having a speed sensor 30, said bearing assembly comprises: a stationary 4 and rotary 2 bearing rings one positioned inside the other; a sealing member 50 secured to the stationary bearing ring; and the sensor secured to the sealing member, wherein the sealing member includes a plate-like core metal 20 fitted to the stationary bearing ring, and an elastic member 52 made of one of rubber and resin and integrated together with the core metal and wherein the sensor is secured to a plate surface of the core metal in contact therewith; wherein the sensor is fixed to the sealing member by means of an integral molding of the elastic member with the metal core. However Nachtigal et al. fail to disclose a temperature sensor.

French et al. teaches bearing with sensor module B that may contain a speed 110, temperature 112, and acceleration sensor 114.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have utilized the known sensor module into the bearing assembly of Nachtigal et al., in view of the

Application/Control Number: 09/972,949

Art Unit: 3683

teaching of French et al., in order to detect the temperature in the interior of the bearing so as to detect bearing failure.

Re-claims 6 and 7 Nachtigal et al. discloses, as shown in fig. 1, a rolling bearing assembly including stationary 4 and rotary 2 bearing rings, one positioned inside the other, and a speed sensor 30, the rolling bearing assembly comprising: a sealing member 50 secured to the stationary bearing ring and comprising a core metal 20 and an elastic member 52 made of one of rubber and resin and integrated together with the core metal, the sensor contacting and being affixed to a plate surface (end surface of radial portion 24) of the core metal, wherein said sensor is integrally molded with the elastic member.

However Nachtigal et al. fail to disclose a temperature sensor.

French et al. teaches bearing with sensor module B that may contain a speed 110, temperature 112, and acceleration sensor 114.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have utilized the known sensor module into the bearing assembly of Nachtigal et al., in view of the teaching of French et al., in order to detect the temperature in the interior of the bearing so as to detect bearing failure.

7. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nachtigal et al. in view of French et al. as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Gomez et al. (U.S. Patent Number 5,833,371).

Re-claim 5 Nachtigal et al. as modified was silent to disclose the temperature sensor 112 is a chip-type laminar thermistor. Gomez et al. teaches the use of thermistor as temperature sensor in col. 1, lines 35-36. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have merely utilized the known thermistor for use as a temperature sensor into the bearing assembly of Nachtigal et al. as modified, in view of the teaching of Gomez et al., in order to get an accurate reading of the temperature inside the bearing depending upon the type of application, cost, and availability.

- 8. Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. Applicant's arguments filed on April 19, 2004 and April 26, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Examiner maintains the rejection is proper. Nachtigal et al. '633 figure 1 can be read as the sensor 30 is secured to a plate surface (end surface of radial portion 24 still can be read as plate surface) of the core metal 20. French et al. '962 is merely used for the teaching of the known temperature sensor used in detecting temperature of a bearing. Applicant claim language is "comprising" and not "consisting". Applicant's argument is more specific than the claim language.

Application/Control Number: 09/972,949

Art Unit: 3683

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mariano Sy whose telephone number is 703-308-3427. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 9:00 A.M. to 3:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Lavinder, can be reached on 703-308-3421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 3683

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Sy المشكر

May 11, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600